

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,123	06/27/2003	Tushar Patel	101896-0178	6697
21125	7590 10/26/2006	EXAMINER		INER
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, N	MA 02210-2604		3733	
•			DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006	6 ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/609,123	PATEL ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	David Comstock	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whichever is later. In	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed,	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	
AMENDMENTS	. William and limb points server with my	(2).	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendment canceling the	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	□ will not be entered or b) ☑ wi	Il he entered and an explanation of	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ii be entered and an explanation of	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-21 and 23-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered vit or other evidence is necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare. 10. The affidavit and the evidence is not and the affidavit and the evidence filed after the date of filing entered.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a lee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	EDUARDO CAOBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to the terminology accompanying the trademark BOOKWALTER, the generic terminology "surgical retractor" fails to differentiate it from any other surgical retractor. Accordingly, if all that is meant is "surgical retractor" then that is what should be in the disclosure. However, if a BOOKWALTER retractor is somehow different from an ordinary surgical retractor, generic terminology describing such differences should accompany the trademark. With regard to Florin, it is noted that the prior art need only be "adapted to" connect two retractor devices and these devices could be connected (e.g. by stacking, tying, clamping, etc.). With regard to Oxland et al., applicant's arguments appear to depend on an interpretation different from that given in the rejection. For example, portion 72 and 74 in the rejection refers to "planar retracting surfaces," which are at a distal-most end of the elongate member. Portions 76 and 78 extend beyond a distal end of the guide members 80 and 82. Finally, the motivation to combine the concave ends of Kurland with the device of Oxland et al. is to facilitate proper seating on a drilling target to improve a procedure. This teaching in Kurland is applicable to the use set forth in Oxland et al., since both devices are used on target surfaces.